

# EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

TITLE: Little Bus / Flexible Transport

## **VERSION CONTROL**

Date	Version	Author	Description of Changes
4/3/19	1.0	RM	-

OFFICIAL



## CHESHIRE EAST COUNCIL - EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Stage 1 Description: Fact finding (about your policy / service / service

Department	Strategic Highway	S.	Lead officer responsible for assessment		RM	
Service	Transport		Other members o assessment	f team undertaking	DT	
Date	4 <sup>th</sup> March 2019		Version		1.0	
Type of document (mark as appropriate)				Policy		
Is this a new/ existing/ revision of an existing document (please mark as appropriate)					Re	evision
Title and subject of the impact assessment (include a brief description of the aims, outcomes, operational issues as appropriate and how it fits in with the wider aims of the organisation) Please attach a copy of the strategy/ plan/ function/ policy/ procedure/ service	The Council provides financial support to operate socially-necessary bus services includes funding a flexible transport service (dial a ride) known as Little Bus. The Council has been carrying out a review of supported bus services and, following series of changes were made to scheduled bus services across the borough in April 202. The proposals approved by Cabinet in November 2017 included changes to the Little include reducing the number of vehicles operating the service from 9 to 5. This cha implementation plans for the revisions to scheduled services to provide additional cap any changes in demand. During this intervening 'settling in' period demand for the static. The Council has also been considering alternative options for the delivery of a Little provide better opportunities for passengers and greater value for money for the Count The recommended approach is for the operation of the Little Bus service to be operation for the school services at the start and end of the school day as part of the Counc currently mostly unused between school hours and this spare capacity can be used to the hours of 9:00am and 2:30pm.		as Little Bus. services and, following of he borough in April 2018 ed changes to the Little from 9 to 5. This chang provide additional capa eriod demand for the Lit the delivery of a Little Bu or money for the Council Bus service to be operate h would utilise the exist y as part of the Council	Cabinet approval in Bus service, which was however de city in the Little Bu ttle Bus service has us service, particula de through the Cou ing TSS fleet whic s statutory obligati	n November 2017, a were anticipated to elayed as part of the s service to cater for s however remained arly options that will uncil's wholly owned h currently provides ions. The TSS fleet is	

## OFFICIAL



Who are the main stakeholders and have they been engaged with? (e.g. general public, employees, Councillors, partners, specific audiences, residents)	<ul> <li>The main stakeholders will be registered members of the Little Bus service who use the service. In addition the following stakeholders have also been identified:</li> <li>Cheshire East tax payers;</li> <li>Community &amp; volunteer groups;</li> <li>Members;</li> <li>Schools and educational establishments;</li> <li>Bus operators;</li> <li>Town and Parish Councils; and</li> <li>Partner organisations and volunteers;</li> </ul>
What consultation method(s) did you use?	<ul> <li>Following approval of the Consulted Network by Cabinet in May 2017, the Council carried out a public consultation on the proposals for 10 weeks from 18<sup>th</sup> May until Wednesday 26<sup>th</sup> July 2017. The consultation proposals included reducing the Little Bus service to '4 or 5 vehicles' and provided options on the ways the service could be operated in the future.</li> <li>The consultation period allowed residents to comment on the Consulted Network in a number of ways including: <ul> <li>Completion of a paper or electronic survey with a supporting information booklet setting out the proposals;</li> <li>Attending staffed events which were organised across the borough in the 11 key service centres and principle towns. Two additional staffed events were also organised at Disley and Rode Heath. The staffed events also gave residents the option to discuss the proposals, find out more information, or have assistance in completing a survey form;</li> <li>Email; and</li> <li>Focus Groups with disability groups.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Key stakeholders and other groups were notified of the consultation including which could be impacted disproportionately or have a different outcome as a result of implementing the proposals. The proposal booklet, accompanying paper based questionnaire and a freepost return envelope were posted to all registered Little Bus users.</li> <li>Full details of the consultation are provided in the Consultation Summary Report which is included as an Appendix to the Cabinet Report published in November 2017.</li> <li>In total 3,959 consultation responses were received.</li> </ul>



Stage 2 Initial Screening	
Who is affected and what evidence have you considered to arrive at this analysis? (This may or may not include the stakeholders listed above)	<ul> <li>Effects are likely to be limited to members of the Little Bus scheme. Membership of the scheme is limited to Cheshire East residents qualifying on one of the following grounds: <ul> <li>Qualifying for disabled persons concessionary pass</li> <li>Deemed too far to walk to the nearest bus stop (no threshold)</li> <li>Over 80 years of age even if no registered disability</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Who is intended to benefit and how?	<ul> <li>Approximately 700 individuals used the service in the last year.</li> <li>Usage of the service can be difficult at present with long standing block-bookings reducing the capacity to provide for new journeys. The new arrangements will allow these journeys to be retimed with a passengers grouped together and will allow registered members to continue to be able to reach key services.</li> <li>As noted previously, the proposed network is targeted to make a saving from the Little Bus service from 19/20 which would be of benefit to Cheshire East taxpayers.</li> </ul>
Could there be a different impact or outcome for some groups?	The vast majority of Little Bus members qualify on age or disability grounds, impacts are therefore higher for these groups as identified in the consultation outlined previously.
Does it include making decisions based on individual characteristics, needs or circumstances?	No
Are relations between different groups or communities likely to be affected? (eg will it favour one particular group or deny opportunities for others?)	No
Is there any specific targeted action to promote equality? Is there a history of unequal outcomes (do you have enough evidence to prove otherwise)?	The review is retain and improve the efficiency of the Little Bus service. A high proportion of Little Bus passengers are older people and/or have a life long limiting illness or disability. The effect on these groups is considered below.



Age	Y		Marriage & civil partnership	N	Religion & belief		N
Disability	Y		Pregnancy & maternity	N	Sex		N
Gender reassignment		N	Race	N	Sexual orientation		N
			ur findings? (quantitative and qualitative document, i.e., graphs, tables, charts	) Please provide a	additional information that		ultation/ /ement d out
						Yes	No
Age			The vast majority of Little Bus passengers a Consultation Report presented to Cabinet i		ther impacts are set out in	Yes	
Disability	abilityResidents are eligible to join the Little Bus scheme on disability grounds. As set out in Consultation Report presented to Cabinet in Nov 2017.		Yes				
Gender reassignment	No known particular effects on this group			No			
Marriage & civil partnership			No known particular effects on this group				No
Pregnancy & maternity			No known particular effects on this group				No
Race			No known particular effects on this group				No
Religion & belief			No known particular effects on this group				No
Sex			No known particular effects on this group				No
Sexual orientation			No known particular effects on this group				No
Proceed to full impact assess (Please tick)	ment?		Yes		Date 4/3/19		



Lead officer sign off	RM	Date	4/3/19
Head of service sign off	RH	Date	5/3/19

If yes, please proceed to Stage 3. If no, please publish the initial screening as part of the suite of documents relating to this issue

OFFICIAL



#### Stage 3 Identifying impacts and evidence

This section identifies if there are impacts on equality, diversity and cohesion, what evidence there is to support the conclusion and what further action is needed

Protected characteristics	Is the policy (function etc) likely to have an adverse impact on any of the groups? Please include evidence (qualitative & quantitative) and consultations List what negative impacts were recorded in Stage 1 (Initial Assessment).	Are there any positive impacts of the policy (function etc) on any of the groups? Please include evidence (qualitative & quantitative) and consultations List what positive impacts were recorded in Stage 1 (Initial Assessment).	Please rate the impact taking into account any measures already in place to reduce the impacts identified <i>High:</i> Significant potential impact; history of complaints; no mitigating measures in place; need for consultation <i>Medium:</i> Some potential impact; some mitigating measures in place, lack of evidence to show effectiveness of measures <i>Low:</i> Little/no identified impacts; heavily legislation-led; limited public facing aspect	Further action (only an outline needs to be included here. A full action plan can be included at Section 4) Once you have assessed the impact of a policy/service, it is important to identify options and alternatives to reduce or eliminate any negative impact. Options considered could be adapting the policy or service, changing the way in which it is implemented or introducing balancing measures to reduce any negative impact. When considering each option you should think about how it will reduce any negative impact, how it might impact on other groups and how it might impact on relationships between groups and overall issues around community cohesion. You should clearly demonstrate how you have considered various options and the impact of these. You must have a detailed rationale behind decisions and a justification for those alternatives that have not been accepted.
Age	<ul> <li>The majority of Little Bus users are older residents. A review of all members using the service within the last year has shown that 91% of known members are aged 60 and over.</li> <li>The proposals may affect existing journey patterns with a number of long term bookings affecting the</li> </ul>	The proposals will retime a number of long term bookings which make the current operation of the service inefficient. This is likely to realise greater journey opportunities.	Medium	Number of journey refusals to be monitored.





	efficiency of the service. The majority			
	of trips (59%) using the service do so			
	for shopping purposes with these trips			
	assumed to be able to be retimed.			
	The journey timings to the 30% of			
	trips to adult social day care centres			
	may not be as flexible. It is however			
	estimated that 83% of journeys could			
	still be provided with the remaining			
	journeys needing to be retimed.			
	The consultation highlighted concern			
	that the proposals to reduce to 4 or 5			
	Little Bus vehicles may leave them			
	isolated, however the current			
	proposals will provide further journey			
	opportunities.			
Disability	Residents can qualify to use the Little	The proposals will retime a	Medium	Number of journey refusals to
	bus service on the ground of	number of long term bookings		be monitored.
	disability. 77% of trips are made by	which make the current		
	passengers who are identified as	operation of the service		
	ambulant (able to board the vehicle	inefficient. This is likely to		
	themselves), with 17% requiring	realise greater journey		
	assistance and 6% of journeys made	opportunities.		
	by passengers in a wheelchair.			
	The proposals may affect existing			
	journey patterns with a number of			
	Journey patterns with a number of			
	long term bookings affecting the			



of trips (59%) using the service do so for shopping purposes with these trips assumed to be able to be retimed. The journey timings to the 30% of trips to adult social day care centres may not be as flexible. It is however estimated that 83% of journeys could still be provided with the remaining journeys needing to be retimed. The consultation highlighted concern that the proposals to reduce to 4 or 5 Little Bus vehicles may leave them isolated, however the current	
assumed to be able to be retimed. The journey timings to the 30% of trips to adult social day care centres may not be as flexible. It is however estimated that 83% of journeys could still be provided with the remaining journeys needing to be retimed. The consultation highlighted concern that the proposals to reduce to 4 or 5 Little Bus vehicles may leave them	
The journey timings to the 30% of         trips to adult social day care centres         may not be as flexible. It is however         estimated that 83% of journeys could         still be provided with the remaining         journeys needing to be retimed.         The consultation highlighted concern         that the proposals to reduce to 4 or 5         Little Bus vehicles may leave them	
trips to adult social day care centres may not be as flexible. It is however estimated that 83% of journeys could still be provided with the remaining journeys needing to be retimed. The consultation highlighted concern that the proposals to reduce to 4 or 5 Little Bus vehicles may leave them	
<ul> <li>may not be as flexible. It is however</li> <li>estimated that 83% of journeys could</li> <li>still be provided with the remaining</li> <li>journeys needing to be retimed.</li> <li>The consultation highlighted concern</li> <li>that the proposals to reduce to 4 or 5</li> <li>Little Bus vehicles may leave them</li> </ul>	
estimated that 83% of journeys could still be provided with the remaining journeys needing to be retimed. The consultation highlighted concern that the proposals to reduce to 4 or 5 Little Bus vehicles may leave them	
still be provided with the remaining journeys needing to be retimed. The consultation highlighted concern that the proposals to reduce to 4 or 5 Little Bus vehicles may leave them	
journeys needing to be retimed. The consultation highlighted concern that the proposals to reduce to 4 or 5 Little Bus vehicles may leave them	
The consultation highlighted concern that the proposals to reduce to 4 or 5 Little Bus vehicles may leave them	
that the proposals to reduce to 4 or 5 Little Bus vehicles may leave them	
that the proposals to reduce to 4 or 5 Little Bus vehicles may leave them	
Little Bus vehicles may leave them	
isolated, however the current	
proposals will provide further journey	
opportunities.	
Gender reassignment       This policy is not expected to have any	
greater impact on this group than it	
does on the general public.	
Marriage & civil This policy is not expected to have any	
partnership greater impact on this group than it	
does on the general public.	
Pregnancy and     This policy is not expected to have any	
maternity greater impact on this group than it	
does on the general public.	
Race     This policy is not expected to have any	
greater impact on this group than it	



	does on the general public.					
Religion & belief	This policy is not expected to have any greater impact on this group than it					
	does on the general public.					
Sex	This policy is not expected to have any greater impact on this group than it does on the general public.					
Sexual orientation	This policy is not expected to have any greater impact on this group than it does on the general public.	None	None	None		
Is this change due to be carried out wholly or partly by other providers? If yes, please indicate how you have ensured that the partner organisation complies with equality legislation (e.g. tendering, awards process, contract, monitoring and performance measures)						



#### Stage 4 Review and Conclusion

#### Summary: provide a brief overview including impact, changes, improvement, any gaps in evidence and additional data that is needed

The consultation carried out in November 2017 highlighted that 76% of respondents stated that they do not have alternative transport available if they could not use their bus route. The consultation also outlined that 491 of the consultation respondents were members of Little Bus. Of which, a large proportion (89%) had no alternative means of transport available to them if they could not use Little Bus. Reducing the number of Little Bus vehicles could therefore have a large negative impact on those who rely on this service

Specific actions to be taken to reduce, justify	How will this be monitored?	Officer responsible	Target date		
or remove any adverse impacts					
Monitoring of the Little Bus service in order to determine changes in demand and any increases to the number of refused trips.	Management information of the Little Bus service	RM / DT	Monthly following implementation		
Please provide details and link to full action plan for actions	To be monitored through TSS Management Informa	lion.			
When will this assessment be reviewed?	Prior to implementation				
Are there any additional assessments that need to be undertaken in relation to this assessment?	No				
Lead officer sign off	RM	Date	4/3/19		
Head of service sign off	RH	Date	4/3/19		



Please publish this completed EIA form on the relevant section of the Cheshire East website